IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.4630/2007

Union of India, Ministry of External Affairs, New Delhi and another
...Versus...
V.A. Johny and another

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	**Court's or Judge's orders**

(Shri S.K. Mishra, Adv. for petitioner)

CORAM :- Smt. Vasanti A. Naik &
A.B. Chaudhari, JJ.
DATED :- 23.10.2007

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners.

By this writ petition, the petitioners challenge the order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal on 22.6.1999 in Original Application No.541/1995.

It is not in dispute that the respondent No. 1 was engaged as a casual labour by the respondent No.2 through the Employment Exchange. Subsequently, by an order dated 12.7.1994, the temporary status conferred on the respondent No.1 was cancelled. The respondent No.1 challenged the order dated 12.7.1994 in Original Application No.541/1995. The said Original Application was allowed and the Tribunal quashed

and set aside the impugned order dated 12.7.1994 with a direction to the petitioners to restore the temporary status to the respondent No.1. The respondent No.1 then filed Misc. Civil Application before the Central Administrative Tribunal, seeking implementation of the order passed in Original Application No.541/1995. Thereafter, the petitioners filed the review petition before the Central Administrative Tribunal for reviewing the order passed by the Tribunal on 22.6.1999.

We have perused the order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal on 22.6.1999 as also the order passed on the review application. We find that the order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal on 22.6.1999 is just and proper and calls for no interference in exercise of the jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The Writ Petition is devoid of merit and is therefore dismissed with no order as to costs.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

ssw